

1 DONALDJ.QUERIO(StateBarNo.54367)
 djq@severson.com
 2 MARKD.LONERGAN(StateBarNo.143622)
 mdl@severson.com
 3 PETERH.BALES(StateBarNo.251345)
 phb@severson.com
 4 SEVERSON&WERSON
 AProfessionalCorporation
 5 OneEmbarcaderoCenter,Suite2600
 SanFrancisco,CA94111
 6 Telephone:(415)398-3344
 Facsimile:(415)956-0439

7 AttorneysforDefendants
 8 WELLSFARGOFINANCIAL
 MISSOURI,INC.,etal.
 9

10 UNITEDSTATESDISTRICTCOURT
 11 NORTHERNDISTRICTOFCALIFORNIA
 12

13 RICHARDHOWARD,
 14 Plaintiff,
 15 vs.
 16 WELLSFARGOFINANCIALMISSOURI,
 INC.,WELLSFARGOFINANCIAL
 17 ACCEPTANCE,WELLSFARGOAUTO
 FINANCE,INC.,WELLSFARGO
 18 FINANCIALCAR,LLC, andDOES1-50,
 19 Defendants.
 20

CaseNo.:CO7-05881EDL(BZ)

**WELLFARGO'SMOTIONFOR
ADMINISTRATIVERELIEFTO
REQUESTTOCHANGEDATESET
FORMANDATORYSETTLEMENT
CONFERENCE**

SettlementConferenceDate:
 August19,2008
 Time:9:00a.m.
 Dept.:G
 Judge:Hon.BernardZimmerman

ComplaintDate:November20,2007

21 PursuanttoCiv.L.R.7-11,defendantWellsFargoF inancialMissouri,Inc.submitsthis

22 motionforadministrativereliefrequestingthatth eCourtchangethedatecurrentlysetforthe
 23 settlementconferenceforthefollowingreasons:

- 24
 1. OnJune18,2008JudgeZimmermanissuedan“OrderS chedulingSettlement
 Conference”whichsetthedateforAugust19,2008. (BalesDecl.,¶2.)
- 25
 2. CounselforWellsFargoisnotavailableonAugust 19,2008becauseofa
 mandatorysettlementconferenceforanotheraction whichissettotakeplaceinElDorado

1 County Superior Court and which was scheduled prior to Judge Zimmerman's order. (Bales
 2 Decl., ¶3.)

3 3. In light of the scheduling conflict, on June 18, 2008 counsel for Wells Fargo, Peter
 4 H. Bales, sent an email to counsel for plaintiff asking if plaintiff would be willing to file a
 5 stipulation requesting that the Court change the date set for the conference. Plaintiff's counsel
 6 responded on Friday, June 20th stating that he would check his calendar. (Bales Decl., ¶4.)

7 4. On July 1, 2008, counsel for Wells Fargo sent a follow-up email to counsel for
 8 plaintiffs since counsel for Wells Fargo had not received a substantive response to his June 18th
 9 email asking if plaintiff was willing to stipulate to the request. Plaintiff's counsel never
 10 responded to the July 1st email. (Bales Decl., ¶5.)

11 5. On Friday, July 11, 2008, counsel for Wells Fargo sent a third and final email to
 12 determine whether plaintiff was willing to stipulate to the request. The July 11th email was sent
 13 only after plaintiff's counsel failed to respond to the July 1st email and date telephone message that
 14 was left earlier in the week at counsel's office. This final email advised plaintiff that if Wells
 15 Fargo did not hear back by Monday, July 14, 2008 regarding plaintiff's willingness to agree to a
 16 stipulation, Wells Fargo would file this motion. (Bales Decl., ¶6.)

17 6. Within minutes after the July 11th email, plaintiff's counsel responded that he was
 18 "working on it." However, as of the date of this motion, plaintiff's counsel has failed to provide a
 19 response as to whether plaintiff is willing or not willing to stipulate to this request. (Bales
 20 Decl., ¶7.)

21 7. Due to the scheduling conflict and plaintiff's failure to respond, Wells Fargo
 22 submits this motion requesting that the Court change the date set for the settlement conference.
 23 Wells Fargo requests the Court to set the settlement conference for one week following August
 24 19, 2008, or August 26, 2008, if this date is agreeable with the Court.

25
 26
 27
 28

1 DATED:July15,2008

SEVERSON&WERSON
AProfessionalCorporation

2

3

By:/s/PeterH.Bales
PeterH.Bales

4

5

AttorneysforDefendant
WELLSFARGOFINANCIALMISSOURI,INC.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 **DECLARATION OF PETER H. BALES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR**

2 **ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF**

3 I, Peter H. Bales, declare as follows:

4 1. I am an attorney at law, licensed to practice in the State of California, and I am an attorney with the law firm of Severson & Werson, co-counsel to the Defendants. I have personal knowledge of the following facts, and if called as a witness, I would testify truthfully with respect to the matters stated hereinafter.

5 2. On June 18, 2008 Judge Zimmerman issued an "Order Scheduling Settlement Conference" which set the date for August 19, 2008.

6 3. I am not available on August 19, 2008 because of a mandatory settlement conference for another action which is set to take place in El Dorado County Superior Court and which was scheduled prior to Judge Zimmerman's order.

7 4. In light of the scheduling conflict, on June 18, 2008 I sent an email to counsel for plaintiff asking if plaintiff would be willing to change the date set for the conference. Plaintiff's counsele responded on Friday, June 20th, stating that he would check his calendar.

8 5. On July 1, 2008, I sent a follow-up email to counsel for plaintiffs since I had not received a substantive response to his June 18th email asking if plaintiff was willing to stipulate to the request. Plaintiff's counsel never responded to my July 1st email.

9 6. On Friday, July 11, 2008 I sent a third and final email to determine whether plaintiff was willing to stipulate to the request. I sent the July 11th email only after plaintiff's counsel failed to respond to my July 1st email and telephone message that I left earlier in the week at counsel's office. I advised plaintiff's counsel that if Wells Fargo did not hear back by Monday July 14, 2008 regarding plaintiff's willingness to stipulate, Wells Fargo would file a motion requesting the Court to change the date.

10 7. Within minutes after the July 11th email, plaintiff's counsel responded that he was "working on it." However, as of the date of this response, as to whether plaintiff is willing or not willing to stipulate to this request.

1 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing
2 is true and correct. Executed within
the United States on July 15, 2008.

3
4 By: s/Peter H. Bales
5 Peter H. Bales
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 [PROPOSED]ORDER
2

Based upon the administrative motion and the declarative statements submitted in support of the administrative motion, Wells Fargo's request that the date for the settlement conference be changed is GRANTED. The settlement conference is now scheduled for _____ at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom G, 15th Floor, Federal Building, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California. All deadlines corresponding to the settlement conferences shall be changed to reflect the new date. .

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____, 2008

Magistrate Judge
United States District Court